QUESTIONNAIRE Q170

GRACE PERIOD

Does your country have a grace period system?

- ☑ yes (please provide answers in <u>both</u> the left and right columns for the Questions below)
- \Box no (please provide answers in the left column for the Questions below)

When answering each Question below, please provide your recommendations for the SPLT in the left column, and for the NRGs that have responded "yes" to the above Question (i.e. that already have grace periods) please provide the existing situation in your country in the right column.

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation
 1.1 - Length of grace period? ☑ one year □ six months □ other, please specify: 	 1.1 - Length of grace period? ☑ one year □ six months □ other, please specify:
 1.2 - When grace period ends on <i>dies non</i>, should filing be possible until the next working day? ☑ yes □ no 	 1.2 - When grace period ends on <i>dies non</i>, can filing be delayed until next working day? □ yes □ no Brazilian Law has no provision on this matter

1.- Delay available to file patent applications following public disclosure:

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation
1.3 - Should the Paris Convention priority	1.3 - Can the Paris Convention priority
term be available to extend the grace period,	term be used to extend the grace period, i.e.
i.e. is only the priority date required to be	is only the priority date required to be within
within the grace period (as opposed to the	the grace period (as opposed to the actual
actual filing date also having to fall within	filing date also having to fall within grace
grace period)?	period)?
☑ yes	🗹 yes
□ no	🗆 no

2.- Types and origin of disclosures for which grace period applies:

For the following two (2) sub-questions, please tick off all of the boxes that correspond to the scenarios where the grace period should apply.

	Proposal for SPLT		Existing situation
2.1 -	Types of public disclosures:	2.1 -	Types of public disclosures:
	\blacksquare <u>all types</u> (e.g. published patent		\square <u>all types</u> (e.g. published patent
	application, "typical" printed		application, "typical" printed
	publication, oral, sale, offer for sale,		publication, oral, sale, offer for sale,
	use, exhibition, etc)		use, exhibition, etc)
	□ published patent publications		□ published patent publications
	□ "typical" printed publications (e.g.		□ "typical" printed publications (e.g.
	trade magazines, newspapers, sales		trade magazines, newspapers, sales
	catalogs, printed conference abstracts		catalogs, printed conference abstracts
	or more, etc.)		or more, etc.)
	□ publications via		□ publications via
	telecommunication means (e.g.		telecommunication means (e.g.
	Internet disclosures)		Internet disclosures)

	□ reasonable trial, experimentation		□ reasonable trial, experimentation
	□ oral presentation held at		□ oral presentation held at
	conference held by recognised		conference held by recognised
	scientific body		scientific body
	□ any oral presentation		□ any oral presentation
	□ recognised exhibitions		□ recognised exhibitions
	\Box any exhibition		□ any exhibition
	□ sale		□ sale
	□ offer for sale		□ offer for sale
	□ other, please specify:		□ other, please specify:
	□ other, please specify:		□ other, please specify:
	□ other, please specify:		□ other, please specify:
	Proposal for SPLT		Existing situation
22-		22-	
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure:	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure:
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent
2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the 	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the
2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from 	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from
2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to 	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to
2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed 	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.
2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed 	2.2 -	 Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.
2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent ☑ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.	2.2 -	Origin of disclosure: ☑ by inventor ☑ by person/entity having the right to obtain patent □ by third party who derived the information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent and who disclosed without the consent thereof (e.g.

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation
 2.3 - In a given country where application is filed, should grace period be calculated from date of disclosure having taken place: □ in said given country (where application is filed), or ☑ anywhere in the world 	 2.3 - In your country, is grace period calculated from date of disclosure having taken place: □ in your country, or ☑ anywhere in the world
 2.4 - Following above sub-question 2.1, should published patent applications be part of allowable disclosures? ☑ yes, exception made to those made in the very same country □ no 	 2.4 - Following above sub-question 2.1, should published patent applications be part of allowable disclosures? ☑ yes, exception made to those of Brazilian applications □ no

3.- Related additional filing requirements:

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation	
 3.1 - Should there be a special official fee? □ yes ☑ no 	 3.1 - Is there a special official fee? □ yes ☑ no 	
There should be no obligation to inform	There is no obligation to inform	
Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation	

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation	
the Examiner	designated by the Examiner	
☑ Yes, but only at the request of	☑ Yes, upon request within the term	
filing	filing	
discovery, if discovery is made after	discovery, if discovery is made after	
\Box yes, within months of	□ yes, within months of	
You may also tick the following box:	You may also tick the following box:	
□ no	□ no	
filing	filing	
\Box yes, within months of	\Box yes, within months of	
\Box yes, at filing	\Box yes, at filing	
3.3 - Should there be a requirement that the details of the public disclosure be identified?	3.3 - Is there a requirement that the details of the public disclosure have to be identified?	
filing		
discovery, if discovery is made after	filing	
\Box yes, within months of	discovery, if discovery is made after	
You may also tick the following box:	\Box yes, within months of	
⊠ no	You may also tick the following box:	
filing	Ino ⊡ no	
\Box yes, within months of	filing	
\Box yes, at filing	\Box yes, within months of	
grace period?	\Box yes, at filing	
obtain patent declaring that public disclosure has occurred and requesting provisions of	declaring that public disclosure has occurred and requesting provisions of grace period?	
statement by person/entity having the right to	person/entity having the right to obtain patent	
3.2 - Should there be a requirement for a	3.2 - Is there a requirement for a statement by	

3.4 - Following above sub-question 3.3,	3.4 - Following above sub-question 3.3,
which details should be provided on the	which details must be provided on the declared
-	_
declared public disclosure (tick off all	public disclosure (tick off all applicable boxes)?
applicable boxes)?	\square date of public disclosure
☑ date of public disclosure	☑ location of public disclosure
☑ location of public disclosure	☑ type of public disclosure (see sub-
\square type of public disclosure (see sub-	question 2.1)
question 2.1)	\square origin of public disclosure (see
\square origin of public disclosure (see	sub-question 2.2)
sub-question 2.2)	☑ technical contents of public
d technical contents of public	disclosure
disclosure	
3.5 - Should there be sanctions against	3.5 - Are there be sanctions against applicant
applicant of patent application for false	of patent application for false declarations of
declarations of consequence made with	consequence made with respect to above sub-
respect to above sub-questions 3.3 and 3.4,	questions 3.3 and 3.4, and if so, which type of
and if so, which type of sanctions would be	sanctions would be applied (tick off all
applied (tick off all applicable boxes)?	applicable boxes)?
\Box no (no sanctions)	□ no (no sanctions)
☑ yes, public disclosure becomes	□ yes, public disclosure becomes prior art.
prior art	□ yes, infringement damages from
□ yes, infringement damages from	publication of patent application
publication of patent application	commence only at 18 months after
commence only at 18 months after	filing
filing	\Box yes, immediate publication of the
\Box yes, immediate publication of the	application
application	There is no specific sanction in the
	Brazilian IP Law. However, unless the
	applicant succeds in attesting that the disclosure
	made falls within the valid legal situations, then
	the matter will be deemd as part of prior art.
	Furthermore, misprepresetation in general is
	- and the second of the second of the second is

	considered as a crime in Brazil.
□ yes, other, please specify:	□ yes, other, please specify:
□ yes, other, please specify:	□ yes, other, please specify:

4.- Procedural issues possibly affected by use of grace period:

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation	
 4.1 - When should the publication of a patent application filed within grace period take place? ☑ conventionally at 18 months from filing date or earliest priority □ at 18 months from public disclosure date □ as soon as administratively possible after filing □ other, please specify: 	 4.1 - When does the publication of a patent application filed in your country within grace period take place? ☑ conventionally at 18 months from filing date or earliest priority □ at 18 months from public disclosure date □ as soon as administratively possible after filing □ other, please specify: 	
 4.2 - For a PCT application filed within the grace period, would the PCT 19, 20/21 and 30/31 months time limits be calculated: ☑ conventionally from filing date or earliest priority date □ from public disclosure date □ other, please specify: 	 4.2 - For a PCT application filed within th grace period, are the PCT 19, 20/21 and 30/31 months time limits be calculated: ☑ conventionally from filing date or earliest priority date □ from public disclosure date □ other, please specify: 	
Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation	

4.3 -	Would the term of the notant he	12	In your country, is the term of the
4.3 -	Would the term of the patent be	4.3 -	In your country, is the term of the
calculated:		patent calculated:	
	\blacksquare conventionally twenty years from		\blacksquare conventionally twenty years from
	filing date		filing date
	□ twenty years from public		□ twenty years from public
	disclosure date		disclosure date
	□ other, please specify:		□ other, please specify:

5.- Miscellaneous:

Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation
5.1 - The burden of proof that an application contains information disclosed previously by a third party that derived this information from inventor or from	5.1 - In your country, the burden of proof that an application contains information disclosed previously by a third party that
person/entity having the right to obtain patent, should be on:	derived this information from inventor or from person/entity having the right to obtain patent, is on:
☐ applicant/patentee ☐ third party	☐ applicant/patentee ☐ third party
□ other, please specify:	□ other, please specify:
Proposal for SPLT	Existing situation
5.2 - Following sub-question 5.1, should	5.2 - Following sub-question 5.1, is the

